	I
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,)
Plaintiff(s),) Case No. 2:17-cv-00326-JCM-NJK
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ORDER
V.) (Docket No. 61)
SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, et al.,)
Defendant(s).)))

Pending before the Court is a stipulation to stay discovery pending resolution of two motions to dismiss. Docket No. 61. The parties indicate that it is in the interest of efficiency to stay discovery. *See id.* at 2 (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 1). The parties fail to explain, however, how a stay of discovery would be efficient at this stage given that discovery is set to close in less than a month. *See* Docket No. 30 (scheduling order entered on August 1, 2017, setting discovery cutoff of December 11, 2017). Accordingly, the stipulation is **DENIED** without prejudice to a renewed request to stay discovery explaining why a stay is appropriate at this late juncture. Any such request must also identify with particularity the discovery conducted to date. *Cf.* Local Rule 26-4(a).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 17, 2017

NANCY J. KOPPE

United States Magistrate Judge